| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|--|--|
| | 10/748,399 | WEISSMAN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | PAUL KIM | 2169 | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: After Non-Final Rejection | | |
| (1) <u>Paul Kim</u> . | (3) | | |
| (2) <u>David Jordan</u> . | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: 30 June 2011 | Time: <u>2:00PM</u> | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: | | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | | |
| Claims discussed: 1, 12, 20, 31, 55, and 65 Prior art documents discussed: | | | |
| Part II. | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Representative for Applicant and the Examiner briefly discussed a suggested that the feature of strength values of both directional was | the proposed Examiner's Amendr | ment. Specifically, the Examiner | |
| Part III. | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview | |
| | | | |
| (A | pplicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) | |